International Application No 11 PUT/ US2005/006082

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 7 C07H17/00 C07H17/08 A61K31/7048 A61K31/7052 A61P31/04 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system tollowed by classification symbols) C07H A61K IPC 7 Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used) EPO-Internal, CHEM ABS Data, WPI Data C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Relevant to claim No. Category ° Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 1-3,5-31WO 2004/013153 A (ZAMBON GROUP S.P.A; X NAPOLETANO, MAURO; MORIGGI, ERMANNO; MEREU, ANDREA) 12 February 2004 (2004-02-12) see in particular compounds 5, 7. 8, 30 1-3,5-31WO 00/40589 A (PLIVA, FARMACEUTSKA X INDUSTRIJA. DIONIČ BUREK, GORDANA; LAZAREVS) 13 July 2000 (2000-07-13) claims 2,4,5 WO 99/22722 A (ABBOTT LABORATORIES) 1-3,5-3114 May 1999 (1999-05-14) see in particular compound 5 WO 99/16779 A (ABBOTT LABORATORIES) 1-3,5-31X 8 April 1999 (1999-04-08) page 18 - page 19 -/--Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. Patent family members are listed in annex. Special categories of cited documents: \*T\* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but 'A' document defining the general state of the art which is not cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the considered to be of particular relevance invention 'E' earlier document but published on or after the international \*X\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention filing date cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to \*L\* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone which is cited to establish the publication date of another "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention citation or other special reason (as specified) cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the 'O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or document is combined with one or more other such docuother means ments, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. 'P' document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed \*&\* document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search report Date of the actual completion of the international search 2 3. 11, 2005 24 August 2005 Name and mailing address of the ISA Authorized officer European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Bardili, W Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

International Application No
PCT7US2005/006082

	Citation of documents with indication, where appropriate of the relevant passages	Delevent to all 1
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	WO 02/051855 A (KOSAN BIOSCIENCES, INC) 4 July 2002 (2002-07-04) example 44	1-3,5-31
X	EP 0 643 068 A (CHUGAI SEIYAKU KABUSHIKI KAISHA; CHUGAI PHARMACEUTICAL CO LTD) 15 March 1995 (1995-03-15) page 19 - page 22	1-3,5-31
E	WO 2005/049632 A (RIB-X PHARMACEUTICALS, INC; FARMER, JAY, J; GOLDBERG, JAY, A; OYELERE,) 2 June 2005 (2005-06-02) the whole document	1-3,5-31
E	WO 2005/042554 A (RIB-X PHARMACEUTICALS, INC; OYELERE, ADEGBOYEGA, K; FARMER, JAY, J) 12 May 2005 (2005-05-12) the whole document	1-3,5-31
		· ·
•		
	•	

PCT/US2005/006082

Box II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
1. Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
Claims Nos.:  because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:
3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
see additional sheet
1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  1-3, 5-31 (parts)
Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.  No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

### FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210

This International Searching Authority found multiple (groups of) inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. claims: 1-3,5-31 (parts)

Compounds of formula (I), pharmaceutical compositions containing them, their preparation and use

2. claims: 1-31 (parts)

Compounds of formula (II), pharmaceutical compositions containing them, their preparation and use

nformation on patent family members

International Application No
PCT/US2005/006082

	atent document d in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)		Publication date
WO	2004013153	Α	12-02-2004	AU	2003269861	A1	23-02-2004
				BR	0313162		05-07-2005
				CA	2493995		12-02-2004
				CN	1678620	•	05-10-2005
-				EP 	1529053 	AZ	11-05-2005
WO	0040589	Α	13-07-2000	AU	3067900		24-07-2000
				CA	2358594		13-07-2000
				CN CZ	1332746 20012316	_	23-01-2002 17-10-2001
				EP	1140962	•	10-10-2001
				HK	1043597		08-10-2004
				HR	980646		28-02-2001
				HU	0105049		29-04-2002
	·			JP	2002534432		15-10-2002
				RU	2234510		20-08-2004
				SK US	9182001 6573367		07-01-2002 03-06-2003
<b></b>					09/330/ 	 n1	
WO	9922722	Α	14-05-1999	AU	1206799		24-05-1999
				BG	104436		29-12-2000
				BR CA	9813318 2307850		22-08-2000 14-05-1999
				CN	1278178	•	27-12-2000
				EP	1027060		16-08-2000
				HU	0100012		28-05-2001
				JP	2001521891	Ţ	13-11-2001
				NO	20002189		28-06-2000
				PL	340604		12-02-2001
				SK TR	6172000 200001147	-	12-03-2001 21-08-2000
				ZA	9809885	· —	05-05-1999
WO	9916779	Α	08-04-1999	AT	260293	T	15-03-2004
<del></del>				AU	737310	B2	16-08-2001
				AU	9317698		23-04-1999
				BG	104340		31-01-2001
				BR CA	9812577 2303930		17-10-2000 08-04-1999
				CN	1271363		25-10-2000
				DE	69821964		01-04-2004
				DE	69821964	_	30-12-2004
				DK	1025114	T3	05-07-2004
				EP	1025114	•	09-08-2000
				ES	2217579		01-11-2004
				HU	0004579	•	28-05-2001
				JP NO	2001518476 20001439	-	16-10-2001 29-05-2000
				PL	339575	•	18-12-2000
				PT	1025114		30-07-2004
				SK	4562000		12-09-2000
<b>(4)</b>				TR	200000805	T2	23-10-2000
WO	02051855	Α	04-07-2002	CA	2429709	A1	04-07-2002
				EP	1337540		27-08-2003
	ہ سے جب بھی بھی اللہ سے جب سے بھی شد جانے ہے		و هنده هندو بوجنهٔ ۱۹۷۸ فعرب نوشته سنده حجم بوجنه حجال کنود هند -	JP 	2004522726	T	29-07-2004
			15-03-1995	AT	169927		15-09-1998

formation on patent family members

Interactional Application No
PUT/ US2005/006082

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0643068	A		AU	659740 B2	25-05-1995
	• •		AU	4089793 A	30-12-1993
			CA	2117883 A1	09-12-1993
			CN	1081184 A	26-01-1994
			DE	69320476 D1	24-09-1998
			DE	69320476 T2	25-03-1999
			DK	643068 T3	08-02-1999
			ES	2120499 T3	01-11-1998
			HK	1009969 A1	12-05-2000
			HR	930926 A1	28-02-1995
			IL	105810 A	27-12-1998
			WO	9324509 A1	09-12-1993
			KR	226311 B1	15-10-1999
			SG	52785 Al	28-09-1998
			SI	9300281 A	31-12-1993
			US	5658888 A	19-08-1997
			ZA	9303679 A	21-12-1993
WO 2005049632	Α	02-06-2005	NONE		
WO 2005042554	A	12-05-2005	NONE		

will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, sée Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. 3.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

**Authorized Officer** 

Bardili, W

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2132



# 

<u></u>	International application No
	PCT/US2005/006082

	·	
	Box No. I Basis of the opinion	
1.	<ol> <li>With regard to the language, this opinion has been established of the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under the language in the language i</li></ol>	on the basis of the international application in der this item.
	☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation language , which is the language of a translation furnished (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	ion from the original language into the following difference of international search
2.	<ol> <li>With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence dis necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been establi</li> </ol>	sclosed in the international application and ished on the basis of:
	a. type of material:	
••	☐ a sequence listing	
	☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b. format of material:	•
	☐ in written format	
	☐ in computer readable form	
	c. time of filing/furnishing:	
	contained in the international application as filed.	
	☐ filed together with the international application in compute	er readable form.
	☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes	of search.
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does nappropriate, were furnished.	e information in the subsequent or additional
4.	4. Additional comments:	
	Box No. II Priority	
1.	1.   The validity of the priority claim has not been considered be does not have in its possession a copy of the earlier applicated required, a translation of that earlier application. This opinion assumption that the relevant date (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1)	ntion whose priority has been claimed or, where not have nevertheless been established on the
2.	2. This opinion has been established as if no priority had been has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant of	ne purposes of this opinion, the international

3. Additional observations, if necessary:

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2005/006082

	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
The obv	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:						
	the entire international application;						
$\boxtimes$	claims Nos. 1-3 (parts), 4, 5-31 (parts)						
because:							
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):						
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):						
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.						
$\boxtimes$	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 1-3 (parts), 4, 5-31 (parts)						
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:						
	the written form		has not been furnished				
			does not comply with the standard				
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished				
			does not comply with the standard				
	the tables related to the nucleon not comply with the technical re	tide a equire	and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do ements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.				
	See separate sheet for further	detail	S				

	Во	x No. IV	Lack of unity of	invention	).					
1.	X	In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees, the applicant has:								
			paid additional fees	S.						
			paid additional fees	s under pr	otest.					
		$\boxtimes$	not paid additional	fees.						
2.			uthority found that the		ment of un	ity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite				
3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and					ty of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is					
		complie	d with							
	$\boxtimes$	not com	plied with for the fol	lowing rea	sons:					
		see se	parate sheet							
4.	Со	nsequen	· itly, this report has b	een estab	olished in r	espect of the following parts of the international application:				
		□ all parts.								
		,	s relating to claims I	doe 1-3 (r	narte) 5-31	(narts)				
		me para	s relating to Claims i	103. TO ()	<i>Jant31</i> , 5 5	· (parts)				
		x No. V dustrial a				Bbis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or ns supporting such statement				
1.	Sta	atement								
	No	velty (N)		Yes:	Claims					
		, ,		No:	Claims	1-3,5-31				
	Inv	entive st	tep (IS)	Yes:	Claims					
				No:	Claims	1-3,5-31				
	Inc	dustrial a	pplicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-3, 5-19,29-31				
2.	Cit	tations a	nd explanations							
	se	e separa	ate sheet							
			•							
	Вс	x No. V	III Certain observ	ations or	the inter	national application				

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

### Re Item III

# Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

This report only covers invention (1) (see item iv) below) since the applicants did not pay the search fee for invention (2).

Claims 20-28 relate to medical treatment of the human body and hence to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).

### Re Item IV

### Lack of unity of invention

The citations are:

D1/WO 2004/013153 A2

D2/ WO 00/40589 A2

D3/ WO 99/22722 A2

D4/ WO 99/16779 A1

D5/ WO 02/051855 A2

D6/EP 0 643 068 A1

The application comprises two inventions:

### Invention (1):

Compounds of formula (I), pharmaceutical compositions containing them, their preparation and use according to claims 1-3, 5-31

### Invention (2):

Compounds of formula (II), pharmaceutical compositions containing them, their preparation and use according to claims 1-31

them are comprised in the state of the art as represented by D1, see compounds 5 (E = CH2, F = alkyl containing NH; G = thiazolyl)), 7, 8, 30, and corresponding "intermediates"; and D2, see claim 2, 4, 5 (E = -C(O)O-, F = CH2, G = phenyl). The medical use of the compounds taught in D1 is the same as in the application. Also, compounds which are structurally similar to the claimed compounds are disclosed in D3, D4, D5 and D6. They correspond to the proviso vi) and vii) of claim 1 (D3, compound 5)

Compounds according to formula (I) of claim 1 and pharmaceutical formulations containing

D3, D4, D5 and D6. They correspond to the proviso vi) and vii) of claim 1 (D3, compout (E = CH2, G = phenyl); D4 p. 18-19 (E = CH2, G = cycloalkyl, unsubstituted and substituted aryl); D5, example 44). Given that their medical use is the same as in the application, the subject-matter of the claims with respect to formula (I) is obvious. It appears therefore that formula (I) and formula (II) do not comprise a special technical feature in the sense of Rule 13.2 PCT.

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Invention (1):

The subject-matter of claims 1-3 and 5-31 lacks novelty and inventive step (see item IV above).

### Re Item VIII

### Certain observations on the international application

The expression "prodrug" used in the claims is a functional expression and not allowable in view of the requirements of Article 6 PCT since a claim related to a group of compounds should define their *structural features* to give a clear understanding of the scope of the claim.

Claim 29 does not specify the technical features of the claimed synthesis and hence contravenes the requirements of Article 6 PCT.